Big Data Analytics

Lab 1 EXTRA A – Chi-squared test





Let's assume the following contingency table

	LEFT	RIGHT	Total
British	3	23	26
Italian	32	5	37
Total	35	28	63

We want to evaluate how likely it is that any observed difference between the sets arose by chance. For doing that, let's employ the Pearson's chi-squared test (Chi2)

$$X_c^2 = \sum \frac{(O-E)^2}{E}$$

where: c = degrees of freedom; O = observed frequency; E = expected frequency





What do we mean by expected frequency?

- To calculate the expected frequency for each cell of the table we have first to consider the *null hypothesis*, which in this case is that the numbers in each cell are proportionately the same in the British sample as they are in the Italian sample
- We therefore construct a parallel table in which the proportions are exactly the same for both samples How to do it?

	LEFT	RIGHT	Total
British	3	23	26
Italian	32	5	37
Total	35	28	63

The proportions are obtained from the totals column in the previous table and are applied to the totals row

	E left	E right	(O-E) for E left	(O-E) for E right	(O-E)^2/E for E left	(O-E)^2/E for E right
British	14.44	11.56				
Italian	20.55	16.44				

For instance, in table above, in column (E left) (26/63) x 35=14.44; (37/63) x 35=20.55; in column (E right) (26/63) x 28 = 11.55; (37/63) x 28 = 16.44

Chi2)		British Italian Total	LEFT 3 32 35	RIGHT 23 5 28	Tot 20 37 63	6 7		
	E left	E right	(O-E) for E left	(O-E) for E right	(O-E)′ for E		fo)^2/E r E ght	
British	14.44	11.56	-11.44	11.44	9.0	6	11.	.33	
Italian	20.55	16.44	11.44	-11.4444	6.3	7	7.	96	
Total					15.4	43	19	.29	

Here the χ^2 is: (15.43+19.29)=34.74

Clearly, the larger the difference between the observations and the expectations (O – E in the equation), the bigger the chisquare will be

To decide whether the difference is big enough to be statistically significant, you compare the chi-square value to a critical value (after having identified the related degree of freedom...)

Chi2			British Italian Total	LEFT 3 32 35	RIGHT 23 5 28	Tot 26 37 63) 7		
	E left	E right	(O-E) for E left	(O-E) for E right	(O-E)' for E		(O-E) for rig	E	
British	14.44	11.56	-11.44	11.44	9.0	6	11.	33	
Italian	20.55	16.44	11.44	-11.4444	6.3	7	7.9	96	
Total					15.4	43	19.	29	

Here the degree of freedom is 1 (i.e., (# of columns minus 1) x (# of rows minus 1) (not counting the row and column containing the totals)

If we now look at a <u>table</u> of χ^2 distribution the probability attached to the χ^2 with 1 degree of freedom is, we find a p-value <0.001 given our 34.74 value above (i.e., we can reject the null hyp. of no relationship in a pretty confident way...)

- The textstat_keyness command within Quanteda does a very similar exercise
- It considers: 1) in the 2 rows the target vs. the reference text; 2) in the first column the frequency of the feature we are interested about (i.e., say "American") as it appears in the two set of texts from the DfM; 3) in the second column the frequency of all the other features in the two set of texts
- It also implements, by default, a Yates correction. Basically it subtracts 0.5 from the numerator of the χ^2 formula
- This aims at correcting the error introduced by assuming (as we do with chi2) that the discrete probabilities of frequencies in the table can be approximated by a continuous (chi-squared) distribution

Finally, remember that chi2 is a *non-parametric test*

Parametric tests use data from a sample to draw conclusions about a population, and the parameters of that population are expected to meet certain assumptions

- *Non-parametric tests* do not require assumptions about the underlying population and do not test hypotheses about population parameters
- Categorical data, and data that are not normally distributed, can be analyzed with non-parametric statistics
- After all, with categorical variables, we can't calculate a mean or standard deviation. Instead, we have just frequencies

